### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

#### From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

## **PCT**

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING
TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL
PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION
TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

Τ'n

GRIFFITH HACK L10, 167 Eagle Street GPO Box 3125 Brisbane, QLD 4000 AUSTRALIE

Date of mailing (day/month/year)	
27 April 2006 (27.04.2006)	ı

Applicant's or agent's file reference FP20447-BJN

IMPORTANT NOTICE

International application No. PCT/AU2004/001399 International filing date (day/month/year) 13 October 2004 (13.10.2004)

Priority date (day/month/year)
13 October 2003 (13.10.2003)

Applicant

BIONOMICS LIMITED et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Authorized officer

Dorothée Mülhausen

Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35

Facsimile No.+41 22 338 87 40

Form PCT/IB/326 (January 2004)

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference FP20447-BJN	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/AU2004/001399	International filing date (day/month/year) 13 October 2004 (13.10.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 13 October 2003 (13.10.2003)	
International Patent Classification (8th See relevant information in Form F	edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant BIONOMICS LIMITED			-

1.	This international preliminary re International Searching Authori	eport on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the ity under Rule 44 bis. I(a).			
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.				
3.	This report contains indications	relating to the following items:			
	Box No. I	Basis of the report			
	Box No. II	Priority			
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention			
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application			
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application			
4.	The International Bureau will co not, except where the applicant r date (Rule 44bis .2).	emmunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority			
		Date of issuance of this report			

	Date of issuance of this report 18 April 2006 (18.04.2006)	
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer  Dorothée Mülhausen	
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35	Telephone No. +41 22 338 87 40	

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the: INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT	Y	RI	1 6 NOV 2004	
To:		V	IPO PCTCT	
Griffith Hack GPO Box 3125 BRISBANE QLD 4001		INTERNATIO	TTEN OPINION OF THE DNAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY  (PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	1 0 NOV 2004	
Applicant's or agent's file reference BJN:MR:FP20447		FOR FURTHER AC	See paragraph 2 below	
International application No.	International filing date 13 October 2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 13 October 2003	
PCT/AU2004/001399 International Patent Classification (IPC) or		ation and IPC	13 October 2003	
Int. Cl. 7 C12Q 1/68	DOM HAMOHAI CIASSILICA	ation and a		
Applicant BIONOMICS LIMITED et al				
1. This opinion contains indications relat	ting to the following ite	ems:		
X Box No. I Basis of the opinion				
Box No. II Priority				
X Box No. III Non-establishment	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
Box No. IV Lack of unity of inv	Lack of unity of invention			
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
Box No. VI Certain documents	cited			
Box No. VII Certain defects in the	he international application	on		
Box No. VIII Certain observation	ns on the international app	plication		
2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.				
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU		Authorized Officer		
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRAL	LIA	TERRY MOOR	E	
E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929		Telephone No. (02)		

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/001399

Box	x No. I Basis of the opinion	
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
	a. type of material	
	a sequence listing	
	table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. format of material	
	in written format	
	in computer readable form	
	c. time of filing/furnishing	
	contained in the international application as filed.	
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	
4.	Additional comments:	
	·	
	·	ļ

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/001399

Box No. V		der Rule 43 <i>bis.</i> 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial and explanations supporting such statement		
1. Statement				
N	ovelty (N)	Claims	11-13, 18-23 and 27	YES
		Claims	1-10, 14-17 and 24-26	NO
In	ventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1-27	. NO
In	dustrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-27	YES
		Claims		NO

#### 2. Citations and explanations:

The specification discloses the linkage between benign familial infantile seizures and mutations in the SCN2A gene. It also discloses that this information can be used in conjunction with existing information about the linkage between the KCNQ2 and KCNQ3 genes and benign familial neonatal seizures to distinguish between these different types of epilepsy.

The following documents identified in the International Search Report have been considered for the purposes of this report:

- D1 Heron et al (2002) The Lancet 360, 851-2
- D2 Mulley et al (2003) Current Opinion in Neurology 16, 171-6
- D3 WO 2003 008574

#### Novelty and Inventive Step

Berkovic et al (2004) is not discussed here because the citation was published after the priority date of the application.

Both D1 and D2 disclose methods of identifying individuals with BFNIS by amplifying regions of the SCN2A gene and identifying mutations in the gene following electrophoresis and sequencing. Each citation also discloses diagnosis of BFNS by identifying mutations in the KCNQ2 and/or 3 genes. As such both citations fully disclose the methods of claims 1-10, 14-17 and 24-26, thereby depriving these claims of novelty and an inventive step. With respect to the remaining claims, which define other well known methods of identifying and characterising genetic mutations, these additional features are standard alternatives to the methods disclosed in the citations. As such, these claims lack an inventive step in light of each of the citations.

Although D3 also discloses methods of diagnosing epilepsies, including benign familial epilepsies by detecting mutations in the SCNA genes. The citation does not provide a direct link between BFNIS in particular and SCNA2. As such the citation represents background art that does not clearly impact on the novelty or inventive step of the claims.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/001399

Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application	

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claim 8 is not fully supported by the description. The description focuses on detection of mutations in the SCN2A gene and correlating these mutations with BFNIS. Although the description also discusses mutations in the KCNQ2 and 3 genes, this information is disclosed in the context of the prior art and of diagnosis of BFNS and is distinguished from the applicant's invention, which relates to SCN2A and BFNIS.

In contrast to this use of the term and/or in the claim results in a claim that may simply define a method of diagnosis by detecting mutations in KCNQ2 or 3 alone. As such the claim does not necessarily require use of the invention as disclosed in the specification.